proba...@sanilaccounty.net datereg@sanilaccounty.net>
unread,
May 10, 2021, 11:51:15 AM

to madcm@googlegroups.com Good morning.

Just looking to get some feedback on a small claims case I have this Wednesday. Caveat emptor vs express oral warranty?

#### FACTS:

Private seller sold <u>16 year old</u> car to buyer. Seller provided receipts of car repairs to the buyer to support its good condition. Seller talked the condition of the car up by saying: working good, good shape, even better shape than when I bought it. Turns out frame is so rusted that the car is not driveable.

My conclusion is caveat emptor. Buyer should have inspected the car, especially since the car is 16 years old. Maybe buyer could prevail if seller knew about the condition and failed to disclose it. Not sure if innocent misrepresentation would apply to this type of case.

Myla L. Ford
Probate Register
District Court Attorney Magistrate
Sanilac County Probate Court
60 West Sanilac Avenue, Room 213
Sandusky, MI 48471
810-648-3221 x 8162
810-648-2900 - Fax
proba...@sanilaccounty.net

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email, and any files transmitted with it, is confidential and is solely for an intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete the email.



Skorich, Dan <SkorichD@clinton-county.org> unread, May 10, 2021, 11:55:13 AM Harsh lesson, but for me the Seller prevails.

# Dan Skorich

Magistrate/Court Administrator (P26689)

**65A District Court** 

100 E State St, Ste 3400

St. Johns, Mi 48879

Phone: (989) 224-5150, Facsimile: (989) 224-5154

Email: skor...@clinton-county.org

This email is the property of Clinton Count and falls under the rules and regulations of the Board of Commissioners Internet Policy Act.

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "MADCM" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to <a href="madcm+un...@googlegroups.com">madcm+un...@googlegroups.com</a>.

To view this discussion on the web

 $visit \ \underline{https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/madcm/d7294ae1cff54f83bd01865db6fcd97f\%40sanilaccounty.net}$ 



Zacharie Stephen <ZStephen@livgov.com> unread, May 10, 2021, 11:56:18 AM

to madcm@googlegroups.com

Did the seller know it was not drivable? I would be hard pressed to believe the seller didn't know that.

When you say, "working good, in good shape and even better than when I bought it" I suppose that could include that it doesn't drive, didn't drive when I bought it, etc., but that seems disingenuous at best, fraudulent at worst.

From: ma...@googlegroups.com <ma...@googlegroups.com> On Behalf Of Myla L. Ford

**Sent:** Monday, May 10, 2021 11:51 AM

To: ma...@googlegroups.com

Subject: [EXT] ROTTEN CAR FRAME-PRIVATE SELLER

"The e-mail below is from an external source. Please do not open attachments or click links from an unknown or suspicious origin."

Good morning.

--



Zacharie Stephen <ZStephen@livgov.com> unread, May 10, 2021, 11:56:27 AM

to madcm@googlegroups.com

Did the seller know it was not drivable? I would be hard pressed to believe the seller didn't know that.

When you say, "working good, in good shape and even better than when I bought it" I suppose that could include that it doesn't drive, didn't drive when I bought it, etc., but that seems disingenuous at best, fraudulent at worst.

From: ma...@googlegroups.com < ma...@googlegroups.com > On Behalf Of Myla L. Ford

**Sent:** Monday, May 10, 2021 11:51 AM

To: ma...@googlegroups.com

**Subject:** [EXT] ROTTEN CAR FRAME-PRIVATE SELLER

"The e-mail below is from an external source. Please do not open attachments or click links from an unknown or suspicious origin."

Good morning.

--



Chris Rockafellow <ChrisR@cassco.org> unread, May 10, 2021, 12:16:12 PM

to madcm@googlegroups.com

I always go by Michigan is a As-Is sale state, unless specified in writing.

#### To view this discussion on the web

visit https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/madcm/SA0PR09MB7387D3606D9131AA6A2CEF7FB3 549%40SA0PR09MB7387.namprd09.prod.outlook.com.



james brennan <jamesbrennanlaw64@gmail.com> unread, May 10, 2021, 12:19:27 PM

### to Jonathan Morse

I agree with Dan, "Buyer be ware!". The car is 16 years old, not a classic. Bought as a used car, for a nominal amount because the case is in small claims, I would imagine that the buyer test drove and may have had a mechanic check it out. Brennan

#### To view this discussion on the web

visit https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/madcm/SA0PR09MB7387B1768E727C1F9DF57047B3549%40SA0PR09MB7387.namprd09.prod.outlook.com.



Millmore, Laura <Laura.Millmore@lansingmi.gov> unread, May 10, 2021, 12:20:16 PM

#### to madcm@googlegroups.com

In my humble opinion, I agree with Dan. Taking the vehicle to a mechanic would reveal the obvious issues. If the seller knew about the condition, you might have a fraud complaint, but in this fact pattern, the inspection could have discovered it easily. I wouldn't find any fraud here.

Sent from my iPad

On May 10, 2021, at 11:55 AM, Skorich, Dan < Skor...@clinton-county.org > wrote:

# To view this discussion on the web

visit <a href="https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/madcm/SA1PR09MB8799E64D4C08590A6A1E96E1E7549%40SA1PR09MB8799.namprd09.prod.outlook.com">https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/madcm/SA1PR09MB8799E64D4C08590A6A1E96E1E7549%40SA1PR09MB8799.namprd09.prod.outlook.com</a>.



Laura Rahe <l.rahe@co.hillsdale.mi.us> unread, May 10, 2021, 1:15:25 PM

to madcm@googlegroups.com

It's the plaintiff's burden to prove that the seller's statements went beyond ordinary puffery. Used cars often have problems. If buyers recovered every time they weren't satisfied (especially if they could have had the car inspected before buying), small claims dockets would get pretty full.

Sincerely,

Laura T. Rahe

District Court Administrator and Attorney Magistrate

Hillsdale County 2B District Court

From: ma...@googlegroups.com < ma...@googlegroups.com > On Behalf Of Myla L. Ford

**Sent:** Monday, May 10, 2021 11:51 AM

To: ma...@googlegroups.com

Subject: ROTTEN CAR FRAME-PRIVATE SELLER

Good morning.



Greenfelder, Kent <kgreenfelder@saginawcounty.com> unread, May 10, 2021, 1:33:33 PM

to madcm@googlegroups.com

If the buyer did not show some fraud on the part of the seller, I would find for seller.

## To view this discussion on the web

visit https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/madcm/000e01d745c0%240c33aeb0%24249b0c10%2 4%40co.hillsdale.mi.us.



matt.friedrich <matt.friedrich@sbcglobal.net> unread, May 10, 2021, 1:43:22 PM

## to madcm@googlegroups.com

Considering that, generally speaking, you can't bring a fraud claim in small claims, I think it has to be based on a breach of contract or breach of warranty. It doesn't sound like there is any written contract or warranty language upon which buyer can rely.

The car is 16 years old. If buyer wanted to be sure it was in good condition and worked properly, buyer should have had it inspected by a mechanic first.

Matt

To view this discussion on the web



proba...@sanilaccounty.net cprobatereg@sanilaccounty.net> unread, May 11, 2021, 11:35:44 AM

to MADCM

Thank you everyone for the great feedback. Seems like we are all on the same page. Thank you for the reminder that fraud claims cannot be heard in small claims.

Reply all

Reply to author

Forward