

On Friday, February 10, 2017 at 3:54:07 PM UTC-5, Milroy,Michael wrote:  
Thanks to all for the input.

From: [madcm@googlegroups.com](mailto:madcm@googlegroups.com) [mailto:[madcm@googlegroups.com](mailto:madcm@googlegroups.com)]  
On Behalf Of James Pahl

Sent: Friday, February 10, 2017 12:25 PM

To: MADCM  
Subject: Re: Light Bars-Violation?  
I would say they are appropriate - if covered and unlit

Jim  
55th

On Fri, Feb 10, 2017 at 9:25 AM, Milroy,Michael <[michael.milroy@kentcountymi.gov](mailto:michael.milroy@kentcountymi.gov)> wrote:

I will soon have before me several equipment violation hearings based upon light bars attached to pick-up trucks. Apparently, the state troopers are seeing more and more of these attached lights. The violations are brought under MCL 257.698(4).

“(4) Unless both covered and unlit, a vehicle operated on the highways of this state shall not be equipped with a lamp or a part designed to be a reflector unless expressly required or permitted by this chapter or that meets the standards prescribed in 49 CFR 571.108. A lamp or a part designed to be a reflector, if visible from the front, shall display or reflect a white or amber light; if visible from either side, shall display or reflect an amber or red light; and if visible from the rear, shall display or reflect a red light, except as otherwise provided by law.”

I have attached (I hope) a photograph of the typical light bar involved with these civil infractions. I am curious if you have had these violations before you and of your rulings. You may assume that all of the defendants were driving with the light bars in operation.

Thanks for the help.

Michael J. Milroy  
63rd District Court

--

Ashley Justice  
Attorney Magistrate  
86th District Court-Antrim County  
Cell: (231) 676-9047  
Office: (231) 533-6441  
Fax: (231) 533-6322  
Efax: (231) 346-5977