Mini Tort Question

8 messages

Milroy, Michael < michael.milroy@kentcountymi.gov>

Reply-To: madcm@googlegroups.com

To: "madcm@googlegroups.com" <madcm@googlegroups.com>

Thu, Jan 25, 2018 at 1:07 PM

Thu, Jan 25, 2018 at 1:26 PM

Good Afternoon,

This fact scenario comes up from time to time. Plaintiff hauling boat on trailer. Defendant rear ends plaintiff while the respective vehicles were operating on the highway. Damage ONLY to trailer and boat. No damage to plaintiff's vehicle. Defendant did have insurance on his vehicle at the time, as did plaintiff.

As I see these cases the trailer and boat damage will not be recovered through mini tort. The damage is not considered damage to a motor vehicle as to come under MCL 500.3135.

Appreciate your thoughts as to how you have handled these cases in your courts. Thank you.

Michael J. Milroy

Magistrate

63rd District Court

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "MADCM" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to madcm+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

To post to this group, send email to madcm@googlegroups.com. Visit this group at https://groups.google.com/group/madcm. For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/d/optout.

Greg Block <gblock@co.lake.mi.us> Reply-To: madcm@googlegroups.com

To: "madcm@googlegroups.com" <madcm@googlegroups.com>

Mike, what about MCL 500.3101(2)(h)?

From: madcm@googlegroups.com [mailto:madcm@googlegroups.com] On Behalf Of Milroy, Michael

Sent: Thursday, January 25, 2018 1:08 PM

To: 'madcm@googlegroups.com' Subject: Mini Tort Question

[Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden] james brennan <jamesbrennanlaw64@gmail.com>

Reply-To: madcm@googlegroups.com

To: Jonathan Morse <madcm@googlegroups.com>

My problem is that mcl 500.3135 says damages to a motor vehicle. I agree with you, Mike.

[Quoted text hidden]

Greg Block <gblock@co.lake.mi.us>

Reply-To: madcm@googlegroups.com

To: "madcm@googlegroups.com" <madcm@googlegroups.com>

Thu, Jan 25, 2018 at 2:57 PM

Thu, Jan 25, 2018 at 1:58 PM

Haven't looked at the cases but you might want to check out 135 MA 465, 121 MA 208, Seems these cases say trailer is a motor vehicle.

From: madcm@googlegroups.com [mailto:madcm@googlegroups.com] On Behalf Of james brennan

Sent: Thursday, January 25, 2018 1:59 PM

To: Jonathan Morse

Subject: RE: Mini Tort Question

[Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden]

Wiewiora, John <WiewioraJo@co.muskegon.mi.us>

Reply-To: madcm@googlegroups.com

To: "madcm@googlegroups.com" <madcm@googlegroups.com>

Thu, Jan 25, 2018 at 2:49 PM

I agree with Mr. Block and would hold that anything in or on either the trailer or the car/truck is subject to the \$1000 limitation.

From: madcm@googlegroups.com [mailto:madcm@googlegroups.com] On Behalf Of james brennan

Sent: Thursday, January 25, 2018 1:59 PM

To: Jonathan Morse

Subject: RE: Mini Tort Question

My problem is that mcl 500.3135 says damages to a motor vehicle. I agree with you, Mike.

[Quoted text hidden]
[Quoted text hidden]

Milroy, Michael < michael.milroy@kentcountymi.gov>

Reply-To: madcm@googlegroups.com

To: "madcm@googlegroups.com" <madcm@googlegroups.com>

Thu, Jan 25, 2018 at 5:07 PM

Definition of "motor vehicle" is exactly the issue I am wrestling with on this case. The No-Fault Act defines a "motor vehicle" as follows:

(i) "Motor vehicle" means a vehicle, including a trailer, that is operated or designed for operation on a public highway by power other than muscular power and has more than 2 wheels.

Let's assume my trailer has 2 wheels. Is the boat viewed as contents? Would he only get damages up to \$1,000 for injury to the trailer?

| Greg, I will check tomorrow the cases you suggested. The trailer issue does come up in first party no fault cases for PIP benefits. Where is the Sinas Dramis "No Fault Red Book" when you need it? | |
|---|------------------------------|
| Thanks for the help. | |
| Milroy | |
| From: madcm@googlegroups.com [mailto:madcm@googlegroups.com] On Behal Sent: Thursday, January 25, 2018 2:58 PM To: madcm@googlegroups.com | f Of Greg Block |
| [Quoted text hidden] | |
| [Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden] | |
| James Pahl <jbpahl0824@gmail.com> Reply-To: madcm@googlegroups.com To: MADCM <madcm@googlegroups.com></madcm@googlegroups.com></jbpahl0824@gmail.com> | Thu, Jan 25, 2018 at 5:13 PM |
| The definition clearly states trailer and I maintain that includes contents of any type. Jim 55th | |
| Virus-free. www.avast.com | |
| [Quoted text hidden] | |
| Milroy,Michael <michael.milroy@kentcountymi.gov> Reply-To: madcm@googlegroups.com To: "madcm@googlegroups.com" <madcm@googlegroups.com></madcm@googlegroups.com></michael.milroy@kentcountymi.gov> | Thu, Jan 25, 2018 at 5:53 PM |
| Oops. I caught a typo in my last post. My plaintiff's trailer has four (4) wheels. Again th | anks for the help. |
| Milroy | |
| From: madcm@googlegroups.com [mailto:madcm@googlegroups.com] On Behalt Sent: Thursday, January 25, 2018 5:08 PM To: madcm@googlegroups.com Subject: RE: Mini Tort Question [Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden] | f Of Milroy,Michael |
| [44000 OATMOON] | |